1. **PURPOSE**

The purpose of this document is to define the process by which the office of Research Compliance and Quality Assurance (RCQA) conducts Focused Quality Reviews of the receipt, storage, distribution and disposal of study-related medications, biologics, devices and other test articles. These Quality Reviews are conducted for studies where the test articles are not stored or dispensed via the research pharmacy and are intended to assess the research team’s level of compliance with Federal and State regulations and guidelines, and University policies and procedures.

2. **DEFINITIONS**

AVP – Associate Vice President, Regulatory Affairs and Assessment  
CAPA – Corrective and Preventive Action  
CRORS – Clinical Research Operations & Regulatory Support  
CTO – Clinical Trials Office at JHS  
HIPAA – Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act  
HSRO – Human Subjects Research Office  
IRB – Institutional Review Board  
IRB system - Electronic protocol submission and tracking system  
JHS – Jackson Health System  
QA - Quality Assurance  
QA Auditor - A member of RCQA that performs Quality Reviews  
RCQA – Research Compliance and Quality Assurance  
SCCC – Sylvester Comprehensive Cancer Center  
SOP – Standard Operating Procedure  
Test articles – Study-related medications, biologics, devices or other test articles. This includes investigational products as well as FDA licensed/approved products.  
University – University of Miami  
VPR – Vice Provost for Research

3. **RESPONSIBILITY**

3.1 **VPR and AVP**  
- The VPR may request Quality Reviews of test article storage and handling.  
- Both receive copies of Quality Review reports.  
- Both receive notification of potentially serious non-compliance issues.
3.2 Human Subjects Research Office (HSRO)

- Receives Quality Review reports and PI CAPA Plans and distributes them to the appropriate IRB committee.
- Notifies RCQA of required follow-up actions requested by the IRBs.
- Notifies RCQA of Quality Review closures and IRB determination letters.

3.3 Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)

- May request a Quality Review of test article storage and handling
- Receives and reviews Quality Review reports and PI CAPA Plans.
- Determines the need for required follow-up actions.

3.4 QA Function of RCQA

- Assigns QA Auditors to conduct Quality Reviews.
- Notifies investigator of planned Quality Reviews and schedules Quality Reviews.
- Performs Quality Reviews.
- Issues Quality Review reports.

3.5 Principal Investigator (PI)

- Schedules Quality Review with RCQA QA Auditor.
- Provides all documents related to the test article.
- Allows study staff to be available to answer questions.
- Responds to Quality Review observations.

4. PROCEDURE

4.1 Investigator/protocol selection

4.1.1 A protocol may be selected for a Quality Review if the test article is not stored and/or dispensed from a research pharmacy.

4.1.2 A Quality Review of test article storage and handling may be requested by the IRB, SCCC, VPR or RCQA.
4.2 Notification

4.2.1 Notify the PI

The QA Auditor will email a PI Quality Review Notification Memo to the PI of the selected study and copy the following leadership personnel:

- Departmental chairperson, Division Chief and Center Director (if applicable)
- VPR
- AVP
- CRORS Director or designee (if study is monitored by CRORS)
- RCQA Executive Director and QA Manager
- RCQA CAPA Manager
- For Quality Reviews at the SCCC, include the SCCC central email address: sccrcqa@miami.edu, and the applicable Site Disease Group Leader.
- For Quality Reviews at Bascom Palmer Eye Institute (BPEI), include the BPEI Vice Chair and Director Clinical research Services.

- Attach the RCQA Electronic Quality Review Process Flow to this notification.
- The PI Notification Memo will outline the required documents to be provided during the Quality Review and the date to conduct this one-day Quality Review.

4.2.2 Notify JHS CTO

If the study to be reviewed is also conducted at JHS and/or includes JHS patients, email the JHS Quality Review Notification memo to the JHS CTO, stating the scheduled Quality Review dates.

4.3 Quality Review Preparation

To prepare for the Quality Review, the QA Auditor will review study materials found in the IRB system for specific product requirements listed in the study protocol, Investigational Drug Brochure, package inserts, etc.
4.4 Initial Meeting with PI and Study Team

The QA Auditor will conduct an initial meeting with the PI and study team at the beginning of the Quality Review to discuss the scope of the Quality Review, the process, and what to expect from the auditors. The PI will be asked to describe the systems in place for storing and dispensing the investigational product.

4.5 Quality Review Conduct

4.5.1 Review informed consent forms and HIPAA forms to determine that subjects agreed to participate in the research study.

4.5.2 Review qualifications of personnel involved in the preparation, dispensation, and administration of test articles.

4.5.3 Inspect storage areas for security and temperature control. Review systems in place for:

- Temperature monitoring
- Documentation of temperature monitoring
- Alarm system
- Emergency back-up power
- Restricted access

4.5.4 Inspect preparation areas for cleanliness and organization.

4.5.5 Review test article labeling for clear identification.

4.5.6 Review documentation of test article accountability:

- Shipping receipts
- Quantity received and receipt dates
- Temperature records during transit
- Storage location and temperature
- Lot or batch numbers
- Quantity dispensed and date of dispensing
- Name of person dispensing/receiving
- Subject identification
- Quantity returned and date of return (from subject and to sponsor)
4.5.7 Review SOPs and all study documentation pertaining to the receipt, storage, distribution and disposal of test articles.

4.5.8 During the course of the Quality Review, the QA Auditor will notify the RCQA Executive Director of any issues that may jeopardize the safety and welfare of human subjects. The Executive Director will then immediately notify the VPR, AVP, the Director for Human Subject Research, and the office of the Executive Dean for Research.

4.6 PI Debriefing

At the conclusion of the onsite Quality Review, if possible on the last day of the review, the QA Auditor will review the main observations with the PI and study team at a debriefing meeting.

4.7 Quality Review Report

4.7.1 Observations will be reported in a standardized format as defined in SOP RCQA-402 and will be issued as described in SOP RCQA-403.

4.7.2 RCQA will issue a Draft Quality Review Report within five (5) business days of the completion of a Quality Review. If additional time is needed, it will be discussed with the RCQA Executive Director and QA Manager and will be documented in the RCQA database.

4.7.3 The Draft Quality Review Report will be reviewed within RCQA prior to issuance.

4.7.4 The Draft Quality Review Report will be issued to the PI via email with instructions to review it for factual content.
4.8 Exit Meeting

4.8.1 The QA Auditor will aim to schedule an exit meeting with the PI and study team within **three (3) business days** of issuing the draft report to review the draft report for accuracy and to clarify any issues.

4.8.2 Based on discussions at the exit meeting, the QA Auditor will finalize the Quality Review report and issue the Final Report as per SOP RCQA-403.

4.9 Quality Review Response (CAPA Plan)

4.9.1 Responses to the Quality Review observations should be submitted to the RCQA CAPA Manager within **ten (10) business days**.

4.9.1.1 Additional time to provide responses may be granted to the PI, if requested. Additional time must be approved by the RCQA Executive Director and CAPA Manager.

4.9.1.2 The CAPA Manager will offer assistance to the PI in the creation of the CAPA Plan.

4.9.1.3 The CAPA Manager will review the PI’s draft CAPA Plan and provide feedback to the PI in order to obtain responses that are specific and measurable.

4.9.1.4 The RCQA Executive Director will conduct the final review of CAPA Plans.

4.9.2 After **ten (10) business days**, RCQA should receive the PI’s final CAPA Plan. The Final Quality Review Report and PI CAPA Plan will be submitted to the HSRO via email and leadership personnel will be copied on the email as per SOP RCQA-403.

4.10 Post Quality Review Customer Satisfaction Survey

Within **two (2) business days** after issuing the final Quality Review Report, the QA Auditor will email the RCQA administrative assistant to request that a customer satisfaction survey be sent to the PI and study team.
4.11 IRB Determination Letter

The IRB will review the final Quality Review Report and PI CAPA Plan and issue an IRB determination letter. This letter will notify RCQA, the VPR, and AVP if a follow up Quality Review is requested by the IRB, or if this Quality Review is considered closed. If the Quality Review did not identify any observations, an IRB determination letter will not be issued.

5. DOCUMENTATION

RCQA will maintain electronic copies of the final Quality Review Report, PI CAPA Plan and any correspondence concerning the report in the RCQA shared drive indefinitely.

6. REFERENCES

SOP RCQA-402: Quality Review Report Generation
SOP RCQA-403: Issuing and Maintaining Quality Review Reports

7. TEMPLATES / FORMS / TOOLS

These templates and forms can be found on the RCQA shared drive:
S:/RCQA/Auditing/Auditing Forms/Audit Email Templates & Memos

- PI Quality Review Notification Memo
- JHS Quality Review Notification
- Draft Quality Review Report Submission Memo

The following templates and forms can be found on the RCQA shared drive:
S:/RCQA/Auditing/Auditing Forms/Current Templates & Forms

- Quality Review Flowchart
- Quality Review Report Template
- PI CAPA Plan template
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<td>Updated the title of the Executive Dean for Research in section 2. Updated section 3.4 to state that QA and Compliance function of RSQA reports to Vice Provost for Research. Modified section 4.2.3 to state that RSQA Executive Director or QA Manager will notify IO of audits to be scheduled. Replaced QA Director with QA Manager in sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.3. Added a step in section 4.4 to review consent and HIPAA forms. Removed QA Director and Senior Associate Dean for Clinical Research throughout document. Updated section 7 to reflect location of templates and forms.</td>
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</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25 Aug 2014</td>
<td>H. Miletic</td>
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<td>Added the CEO of UHealth and Senior Vice President of Health Affairs to section 4.2.1.</td>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19 Apr 2017</td>
<td>H. Miletic</td>
<td>Added Center Director, Chief Compliance Officer of Medical School, BPEI Vice Chair and central mailbox to section 4.2.1. Updated section 4.2.2 to specify who will receive the HSRO Audit Notification. Sections were renumbered as the following processes were added: debriefing meeting with PI and issuing a draft audit report to PI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Oct 2017</td>
<td>H. Miletic</td>
<td>Modified section 4.2.1 to remove the following leadership personnel from the initial audit notification, as per their request: Dean, Chief Compliance Officer, and CEO of UHealth. Added CRORS Director to section 4.2.1. Added a step to include the Audit Flowchart to the initial PI Audit Notification. Added Audit Flowchart to section 7.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Mar 2018</td>
<td>H. Miletic</td>
<td>Changed the term “audits” to “Quality Reviews” throughout the document and title of the SOP. “Audit responses” was changed to “CAPA Plan” throughout the document. Removed the Executive Dean for Research from section 4.2.1. Replaced HSRO personnel with the HSRO mailbox in section 4.2.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Apr 2019</td>
<td>H. Miletic</td>
<td>Modified section 4.2 to add the Director Clinical Research Services and remove the BPEI mailbox when notifying the PI. Steps within section 4.2 were renumbered. Removed the HSRO notification and the <a href="mailto:Quality.Determination@miami.edu">Quality.Determination@miami.edu</a> mailbox in step 4.2.3. Changed the Associate Vice Provost for Human Subject Research to the Executive Director for Human Subject Research, throughout the SOP. Updated step 4.3 to remove reference to studies initiated prior to 2008. Updated step 4.11 to clarify that an IRB determination letter will not be issued if no observations were identified. Added the Draft Quality Review Report Submission Memo and the PI CAPA Plan Template to section 7. Minor revisions to text made throughout.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Aug 2020</td>
<td>H. Miletic</td>
<td>Added AVP and VPR to definitions and removed eProst from definitions. Replaced IO with VPR throughout. Added CAPA Manager to step 4.2.1. Added AVP to sections 3.1, 3.3, 4.2.1, 4.2.2, 4.5.8 and 4.11. Removed the IO notification prior to conducting Quality Review. Removed step for requesting IRB minutes as Auditors have access to these. Updated office name of Executive Dean for Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESEARCH COMPLIANCE AND QUALITY ASSURANCE
STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE

Title: Test Article Storage and Handling Quality Review Procedure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effective Date</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Description of Changes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>in step 4.5.8. Updated Executive Director to Director Human Subjects Research in step 4.5.8. Added 4.9.1.4 where RCQA Executive Director will do final review of CAPA Plans. Updated 4.10 to add 2 business day timeline to request survey to be sent. Updated section 5 to clarify that electronic copies of reports, CAPA Plans and correspondence will be maintained in the RCQA shared drive indefinitely. Box drive was changed to shared drive in section 7. Minor edits made throughout SOP.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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